	HBEAPERAps	
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
2	X	
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	
4	v.	17-cr-683 (LAP)
5	CHUCK CONNORS PERSON and RASHAN MICHEL,	
6	Defendants.	
7	x	
8		New York, N.Y.
9		November 14, 2017 10:30 a.m.
10		
11	Before:	
12	HON. LORETTA A.	PRESKA
13		District Judge
14	APPEARANCE	7.0
15		13
16	JOON H. KIM Acting United States Attorney f	for the
17	Southern District of New York BY: ROBERT L. BOONE, ESQ.	
18	NOAH D. SOLOWIEJCZYK, ESQ. ALINE R. FLODR, ESQ. Assistant United States Attorne	eys
19	SHER TREMONTE LLP	-
20	Attorneys for Defendant Person BY: THERESA M. TRZASKOMA, ESQ.	
21	EMMA S. SPIRO, ESQ.	
22	COOLEY LLP	
23	Attorneys for Defendant Michel BY: JONATHAN P. BACH, ESQ.	
24		
25		

(In open court)

THE COURT: United States v. Person. Is the government ready?

MR. BOONE: Yes. Good morning, your Honor. Robert Boone for the government. Here with me at counsel table is AUSA Noah Solowiejczyk and Aline Flodr.

THE COURT: Good morning. And are the defendants ready?

MS. TRZASKOMA: Good morning, your Honor. Theresa
Trzaskoma from Sher Tremonte. I am here with Emma Spiro from
my firm for Mr. Person.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. BACH: Good morning, your Honor. Jonathan Bach on behalf of Rashan Michel, who is here next to me.

THE COURT: Very good. Thank you.

Counsel, what's the status of discovery, please?

MR. BOONE: Your Honor, we are still collecting some of the discovery. I can go over in detail the type of discovery that's involved.

In terms of the types of discovery, we obtained search warrants for the phones of both defendants. We're producing those. We issued several subpoenas for various things, such as bank records, phone toll records, phone subscriber information, credit reports, and some other subpoenas. But the bulk of the discovery is going be to judicially obtained wiretaps

applications and the related recordings from those applications.

For defendant Chuck Person, we were able to obtain authorization to tap two of his phones. On one of those phones, we intercepted calls over three different 30-day periods. On another phone we intercepted calls over one 30-day period. For defendant Rashan Michel, we tapped one of his phones, and we had intercepted calls over five different 30-day periods for that phone. So we're in the process of gathering that. We believe we will have it by the end of next week. And we'll be in a position to produce it immediately after, or shortly thereafter at least. We'll likely try to seek a protective order related to that information. But assuming there are no issues of fact —

THE COURT: A protective order of what?

MR. BOONE: So that the tapes aren't disseminated outside of the case.

THE COURT: All right. Do you have a sense of how lengthy the tapes are? I mean, I know you told me 30, 60, 90 days, whatever. Do you have any sense at all about the volume?

MR. BOONE: I do, your Honor. So for Chuck Person, for one of the phones we tapped, we've estimated that there is approximately an hour worth of pertinent phone calls. For another phone of Chuck Person, we've estimated that there are approximately 15 hours' worth of pertinent phone calls. For

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Rashan Michel, we've estimated that there are -- there is, rather -- estimated time of 36 hours of pertinent phone calls.

THE COURT: All right. That's helpful.

MR. BOONE: And I believe -- yes, we also obtained post-arrest statements from Chuck Person. We intend to turn those over today.

THE COURT: Thank you.

And your view is that you'll be able to turn it all over by next week, end of the week?

MR. BOONE: I think we'll be able to start production of the wiretaps next week. Some of the other subpoenaed returns and other things might take a little longer, in part because we do have some subpoenas outstanding that we're waiting to receive return to.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

Does defense counsel have any comment on any of that?

MS. TRZASKOMA: I don't, your Honor.

MR. BACH: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

May I ask the government, of course we all know that there are two other cases in the court, one with Judge Ramos and one with Judge Kaplan. Would you tell me why there are three cases and whether or not there are any efficiencies to be gained from readjusting that?

MR. BOONE: Yes, your Honor. So there are three

separate indictments, and there are also three separate complaints, because although the conduct is similar, the individuals involved are in three different schemes. So for that reason we felt it was appropriate to treat them as separate cases. So, for instance, this scheme, the participants in this scheme don't really relate to the other ones and vice versa. There is some overlap. In two of the cases, there are defendants charged in both. But, again, it's our view that they are involved in two different schemes.

THE COURT: And those are the other two cases, and those are the Adidas defendants.

MR. BOONE: Correct.

THE COURT: OK. Does defense counsel have any comment on any of that?

MS. TRZASKOMA: I don't, your Honor.

MR. BACH: None, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. I'm going to set a date for counsel to come back in and tell us where you are in your review of the materials and, if not then, when you want to come back and tell me if there are going to be motions.

THE CLERK: How is December 4th at 11:30?

MS. TRZASKOMA: One moment, your Honor.

THE COURT: Yes, ma'am.

MS. TRZASKOMA: That's fine.

MR. BACH: That's fine, your Honor, on the

25

1	understanding we might not be all the way through the material.	
2	THE COURT: All right. Would you do this. Why don't	
3	you let me know in advance if you need to come in or not, all	
4	right, a couple days ahead?	
5	MS. TRZASKOMA: Yes, your Honor. We can do that.	
6	THE COURT: Yes, ma'am.	
7	Mr. Boone.	
8	MR. BOONE: Yes. We would like to exclude time. But	
9	we also think defendant should be arraigned on the indictment.	
10	THE COURT: Oh, forgive me. I didn't realize that we	
11	didn't do that.	
12	All right. Gentlemen, would you stand, please.	
13	Gentlemen, have you received a copy of the indictment,	
14	17 Crim. 683, sir?	
15	DEFENDANT PERSON: Yes, your Honor.	
16	THE COURT: Sir.	
17	DEFENDANT MICHEL: Yes, ma'am.	
18	THE COURT: Have you gone over it with your lawyers?	
19	DEFENDANT PERSON: Yes.	
20	DEFENDANT MICHEL: Yes, ma'am.	
21	THE COURT: Gents, do you want me to read the whole	
22	thing out loud in court now, or is it enough that you discussed	
23	it with your lawyers?	
24	DEFENDANT PERSON: I waive the public reading.	

DEFENDANT MICHEL: No, ma'am.

1	THE COURT: How do you now plead, sir?	
2	DEFENDANT PERSON: Not guilty, your Honor.	
3	THE COURT: Sir?	
4	DEFENDANT MICHEL: Not guilty.	
5	THE COURT: Thank you, gentlemen.	
6	Mr. Boone.	
7	MR. BOONE: Your Honor, we would ask that time be	
8	excluded in the interests of justice to give the government	
9	time to produce discovery but also to give defense counsel time	
10	to review that discovery with their clients.	
11	THE COURT: Through December 4, right, sir?	
12	MR. BOONE: Yes, through December 4.	
13	THE COURT: Any objection, counsel?	
14	MS. TRZASKOMA: No objection, your Honor.	
15	MR. BACH: No, ma'am.	
16	THE COURT: In order to permit the government to make	
17	discovery and the defense to review the discovery, time between	
18	today and December 4 is excluded from calculation under the	
19	Speedy Trial Act, in the interests of justice.	
20	Anything else, counsel?	
21	MS. TRZASKOMA: Nothing from us, your Honor.	
22	MR. BACH: Nothing further.	
23	MR. BOONE: Nothing from the government.	
24	THE COURT: Thank you, counsel. Good morning.	
25	000	